

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/501,338	Applicant(s) SUGIURA, SATOSHI	
	Examiner JIMMY H. NGUYEN	Art Unit 2629	

All Participants:

(1) JIMMY H. NGUYEN.

(2) Wan-Ching Montfort (applicant's rep.).

Date of Interview: 27 February 2008

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
10, 20, 22 and 23

Prior art documents discussed:

Status of Application: pending

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 11:35 am

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's representative agreed to amend claims 10, 20, 22 and 23 so as to make these claims consistent with the original disclosure, specifically Figs. 2a-2b. The amendment to these claims is provided in the attached Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)